



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,192	06/27/2001	Ismail K. Labceb	METABYTE-1	3912
45722	7590	03/07/2008		
Howard IP Law Group P.O. Box 226 Fort Washington, PA 19034			EXAMINER PARRA, OMAR S	
			ART UNIT 2623	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 03/07/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/893,192

Applicant(s)

LABEEB ET AL.

Examiner

OMAR PARRA

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 February 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20, 22 and 23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 and 22-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/04/2008 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 and 22-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims **1-20 and 22-23** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zigmond et al. (hereinafter 'Zigmond', Patent No. 6,698,020) in view of Schaffer (Patent No. 7,051,352).

Regarding claims 1 and 14, Zigmond teaches a method for displaying a TV program to a viewer, comprising:

transmitting/receiving a plurality of TV programs, wherein at least some of the received TV programs compete with at least some others of the received TV programs for viewership; allowing the viewer to select one of the plurality of received TV programs for viewing; transmitting a plurality of additional programs (**col. 7 lines 13-36**);

storing data indicative of the viewer selected TV program and data indicative of at least some others of the TV programs competing with the viewer selected TV program; determining viewing preferences using the stored data indicative of the user selected TV program and data indicative of at least some others of the TV programs competing with the viewer selected TV program (**col. 11 lines 11-30; col. 13 lines 5-28**); and

controlling the programming displayed to the viewer in accordance with the viewer selection and the determined viewing preferences (**Fig. 6; col. 17 lines 10-50; col. 6 lines 6-9**).

On the other hand, Zigmond does not explicitly teach storing data indicative of TV programs that were not selected along with data indicative of the viewer selected TV programs and determining viewing preferences using both indicative data.

However, in an analogous art, Schaffer teaches a system and method for adaptively recommending content to a viewer where record is kept or stored of what programs have been watched and total or sample of programs not watched (**Fig. 3, col. 2 lines 38-67; col. 3 lines 28-42**). Furthermore, Schaffer uses this viewing history

Art Unit: 2623

(programs watched/not-watched and the characteristics they contain) to calculate or determine viewing preferences (Figs. 6 A-C, col. 4 line 20-col. 5 line 19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Zigmond's invention with Schaffer's feature of storing data indicative of non-selected TV programs and determine viewing preferences using this data along with data indicative of selected programs for the benefit of having a more close user's viewing preferences determination by '*differentiating between the features of shows that are liked and those that are not liked...*', Schaffer, col. 2 lines 54-59.

Regarding claim 2, the claimed "displaying the viewer selected program and additional programs selected in accordance with the determined viewing" is met as disclosed by Zigmond , wherein 'viewers change the television channel to tune into channels that are broadcasting programming' (column 13, lines 12-19) (claimed "viewer selected program"), and 'advertisements to be shown to a viewer are selected according to designated criteria in combination with information that characterizes the viewer (claimed "previously determined viewing preferences of the viewer") (column 6, lines 6-9), which are displayed on display [61], Figure 3 and display [58], Figure 4.

Regarding claims 3 and 16, the claimed "the displaying one or more advertisements" is met since 'the user may select one of a plurality of ads' that 'the user is presented with' (Zigmond, column 9, lines 30-31; **where in order to receive the plurality of advertisements needs transmission**).

Regarding claim 4, the claimed “receiving a plurality of additional programs” is met as discussed in claim 3, since displaying a plurality of advertisements or “additional programs” requires the receiving of the additional programs.

Regarding claim 5, the claimed “selecting one or more of the received additional program in accordance with the previously determined viewing preferences for display to the viewer” is disclosed by Zigmond, wherein ‘the user may select one of a plurality of ads’ that ‘the user is presented with’ (column 9, lines 30-31), wherein the ‘ads or “additional programs” to be shown to a viewer are selected according to designated criteria in combination with information that characterizes the viewer’ (claimed “previously determined viewing preferences of the viewer”) (column 6, lines 6-9).

Regarding claims 6 and 17, the claimed “receiving the plurality of programs through one or more broadcast televisions, cable television networks, computer networks, or telephone networks” is disclosed by Zigmond wherein ‘programming is transmitted via any suitable program delivery channel, such as an over-the-air broadcast, a cable provider, a consumer satellite service, telephone lines, via the Internet, or by any other system for transmitting video data’ (column 7, lines 17-21).

Regarding claims 7 ,15 and 18, the claimed “receiving the additional programs independently of the TV programs” is met as shown in Zigmond: figure 4, wherein ad

Art Unit: 2623

source 62 or “additional programs” and programming source [66] or “or TV programs” are each received independently through streams [64] and [52] respectively.

Regarding claims 8 and 19, the claimed “receiving the plurality of TV programs on a first set of TV channels” and “receiving the plurality of additional programs on a second set of TV channels” is disclosed by Zigmond wherein “advertisement stream 64 may be broadcast on a dedicated channel during a late night period of time when relatively few viewers are watching television” TV programs are on a different channel (column 18, lines 10-15).

Regarding claims 9 and 20, Zigmond discloses “multiplexing advertisement stream 64 into video programming feeds 38 and 39,” (column 18, lines 20-21) which meets the claimed “receiving the additional programs multiplexed with one or more of the TV programs.”

Regarding claim 10, the claimed “storing the received additional programs for subsequent display to the viewer” is met by Zigmond’s “a local repository having stored therein a plurality of advertisements, from which an advertisement stream 64 is delivered to the ad insertion device” (column 8, lines 2-7), which is later displayed on display [58].

Regarding claim 11, the claimed “displaying the viewer selected program and additional programs selected in accordance with the previously determined viewing preferences of the viewer from among the stored additional programs” is disclosed by Zigmond. wherein ‘viewers change the television channel to tune into channels that are broadcasting programming’ (column 13, lines 12-19) (claimed “viewer selected program”) and “a device such as advertisement repository 86 of FIG. 5 may be used to store the transmitted advertisements for later selection and display” (column 18, lines 1-11).

Regarding claims 12 and 22, the claimed “receiving a plurality of additional programs including targeting parameters related to the previously determined viewing preferences of the viewer” is disclosed by Zigmond wherein the “plurality of additional programs” are met as discussed in claim 4, and wherein “The viewer and system information may include data provided by the viewer upon initiation of the services provided by the ad insertion device 80, such as a voluntary survey or questionnaire filled out during the registration process” (column 10, lines 36-48).

Regarding claims 13 and 23, the claimed “targeting parameters include one or more of TV viewing preferences, demographic information, and additional program display schedule information” is disclosed by Zigmond wherein ‘advertisements to be shown to a viewer are selected according to designated criteria in combination with information that characterizes the viewer (claimed “viewing preferences”), the content of

Art Unit: 2623

video programming feed (claimed "additional program display schedule information"), and the geographical location of the household' (claimed "demographic information") (column 6, lines 6-9). Furthermore, "viewer demographic data may be stored in storage location 82, including age, sex, income, preferred language, number of residents, or similar information (claimed "demographic information") (column 10, lines 48-54). Also, "the advertisement parameters include, for example, a description of the content of the advertisement, codes that identify the subject matter of the advertisement, or other mechanisms for characterizing the advertisement so that the advertisement may be displayed to an appropriate segment of the viewing population...the ad selection rules used to match the viewer and system information of storage location 82 or the programming content information of electronic program database 81 with the advertisement parameters associated with the advertisements" (claimed "additional program display schedule information") (column 11, lines 31-49).

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2623

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OMAR PARRA whose telephone number is (571)270-1449. The examiner can normally be reached on Under Academy Schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on 571-272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2623

OP



CHRISTOPHER GRANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800